Part IV: Exhibits

J. Sample of academic and support staff appraisal forms

Sample of academic appraisal forms

Evaluation agreement form of competency and operation ability of Srinakharinwirot University's academic staffs

Part 1 Personal Information

Assessment round	[] firs time (fromto)	[] second time (fromto)
Name of assessing		Position
Department		Faculty/Institute
Assessor		Position

Part 2 Evaluation of work achievement: staffs and Assessors have to determine the mutual agreement about assignment and evaluation of work. Also the indicators or concrete evidence indicating the success of the work and suitable (70%)

				Scoring criteria of success indicators			
Work achieve agreement	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70	Indicator of success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction)	A (10-9)	B (8-7)	C (6-5)	D (4-3)	E (2-1)
1.Education,student,development and academic performance			L	L	L		
2.Research							

				Scoring criteria of success indicators				
Work achieve agreement	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70	Indicator of success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction)	A (10-9)	B (8-7)	C (6-5)	D (4-3)	E (2-1)	
3.Academic		•						
4.Preservation in culture and missions/strategies/special missions	_	-						
5.others								

University Core Competency	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency handbook)
1.Social Responsibility	
2.Work Smart	
3.Unity	
4.Creativity	
5.Morals	

Function Competency (The proportion of functional competency should not lower than 15% but not over 20%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)			
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
The main functional competency (if any) percentages (using proportion same) as functional competency, the proportion of function competency should not lower than 15%				

Remark:

Departament can consider the function competency by position suitable and consider from function competency in Srinakharinwirot University core competency handbook 2560
 The total of functional competency and main competency and main functional competency (if any) as 20%

Signature

(Assessees) (Date...../.....)

Evaluation work achievement form for Srinakharinwiroit University academic staff' group,

lecturer of Demonstration School group and researcher group

Part 1 Personal Information		
Assessment round	[] 1 st (from)	[] 2 nd (from)
Name of assessing		Position
Department		Faculty/Institute
DD/MM/YY if start work	experience year	Highest education
Education level according to the position	hired	
\Box Lower than bachelor's degree \Box Bache	lor's degree	□ Doctor's degree
Position level		
Professor	□ Associate professor	□ Assistant professor
□ Senior expert demonstration lecturer	\Box Expert demonstration lecturer \Box S	enior professional demonstration lecturer
Dependence Professional demonstration lecturer		
□ Senior expert researcher	□ Expert researcher	□ Senior professional researcher
Professional researcher	□ Researcher	
Assessor		
Position		

Function

Indicator	Leave, absence (day)/late (time) □ ()□ ()	Remark
Late (time)		
Sick leave, personal leave		
Maternity leave		
Sick leave because long time cure		
Ordination leave / Hajj leave		
Military leave		
Absence		

Part 2 Evaluation of work achievement: staffs and Assessors have to determine the mutual agreement about assignment and evaluation of work. Also the indicators or concrete evidence indicating the success of the work and suitable (70%)

Work achieve agreement	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70	Indicator of success (choose from quantity times value or	Scorin	g criteria	a of succ	cess indi	cators	Assessment result	Assessment result marks = (weight x assessment)/10 = (1) x (2)/10
		satisfaction)	Α	В	С	D	E		
			(10-9)	(8-7)	(6-5)	(4-3)	(2-1)		
1.Education,student,developr	nent and academic perfo	ormance							

Work achieve agreement	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70	total all indicators of success					Assessment result	Assessment result marks = (weight x assessment)/10 = (1) x (2)/10	
		satisfaction)	A (10-9)	B (8-7)	C (6-5)	D (4-3)	E (2-1)		
2.Research	<u> </u>						<u> </u>		
3.Academic					I	I		I	
4.Preservation in culture and	missions/strategies/spec	cial missions	1		1	1	r		
		Fyalu	ation of y	vork act	hieveem	tn = tota	1 marks	of evaluation	
		Lvalu		work act	neveelli	ui — 101a	1 11141 KS	or evaluation	

Signature

Signature

(Assessees)

(Assessor)

(Date...../.....)

(Date...../.....)

University Core Competency (10%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Assessment result (detail if assessment result follow by Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Competency value received = $[(2)/(1)] \times 100$ If assessment result have higher that expect in competency value = 100
1.Social Responsibility			
2.Work Smart			
3.Unity			
4.Creativity			
5.Morals			
	Total unive	rsity core competency value	
		iversity core competency (a) competency value x 10/500	
Function Competency (The proportion of functional competency should not lower than 15% but not over 20%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Assessment result (detail if assessment result follow by Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Competency value received = $[(2)/(1)] \times 100$ If assessment result have higher that expect in competency value = 100
1.			
2.			
3.			

Function Competency (The proportion of functional competency should not lower than 15% but not over 20%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Assessment result (detail if assessment result follow by Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Competency value received = $[(2)/(1)] \times 100$ If assessment result have higher that expect in competency value = 100		
4.					
5.					
	Total functional competency value				
	Assessment score of functional competency (b) = Total functional competency value x percentage of total functional competency (all competency assessment x 100)				
The main functional competency (if any) pe		× × /			
Total work competency value					
Assessment score of work competency (c) = Total work competency value x percentage of work competency (all competency assessment x 100)					
Assessment competency result = university core competency value + functional competency value + work competency value = $(a) + (b) + (c)$					

Summary form of evaluation and staffs development plan

Part 1 Summary of evaluation

1.1 Evaluation (summarizing the data from 2 parts)	Annual evaluation result summary				
□ First round evaluation result □ Second round evaluation result	Score of first assessment round				
Evaluation Marks	Score of second assessment round				
1. Assessment of work achieve result (70 marks)	Average score of assessment				
2. Assessment competency result (30 marks)					
Total (100 marks)	Please put \checkmark in the box that same as average score				
Remark	\Box Excellent = 90.00-100.00 marks				
If this is second assessment round, it has to summary annual	\square Very good = 80.00-89.99 marks				
assessment result.	\Box Good = 70.00-79.99 marks				
	\Box Need improvement = 60.00-69.99 marks (do not increase wages)				
	\Box Not pass = lower than 60 marks				
1.2 Highlights of assessing	1.3 should develop				

Part 2 Opinion

2.1 Opinion of assessor	2.2 Opinion of screening committee
-	
Signature	Signature
Position	Position
(Date/)	(Date/)
2.3 Opinion of functional committee	
2.3 Opinion of functional committee	
2.3 Opinion of functional committee	I
2.3 Opinion of functional committee	<u> </u>
2.3 Opinion of functional committee	
- 	I
- 	
Signature	

Part 3 Notification of assessment results

Assessor	Assessing
□ Assessor inform first assessment result	□ Acknowledged the assessment results and the development of
To assessing after being considered by board of directors	operations
□ Assessor inform annual assessment result (first round and second	
round)	
After an order to increase wages that have been signed by Director-	Signature(Assessing)
General or the person assigned by the Director-General	(Date/)
□ Inform result and assessing signed	
□ Date of inform resultbut assessing not sign with	
1 attester	
2 Attester	
Signature (Assessor)	
(Date/)	

Part 4 Staffs development plan, assessor and assessing determine development and improvement plan. Also determine goals, results or standards of success and determine work indicators and development method

Development or improvement	Goals, results or standards of	Development method	Operating time
plan	success		
Signature .	(Assessing) (Date/)	Signature .	(Assessor) (Date/)

Evaluation agreement form of competency and operation ability of Srinakharinwirot University's staffs Operation

Part 1 Personal Information

Assessment round	[] firs time (fromto
Name of assessing	Position
Department	Faculty/Institute
	Position

Part 2 Evaluation of work achievement: staffs and Assessors have to determine the mutual agreement about assignment and evaluation of work. Also the indicators or concrete evidence indicating the success of the work and suitable(70%)

Work achieve agreement	Weights(100%) In total all	success	Scoring criteria of success indicators				
	indicators equal with 70	(choose from quantity times value or satisfaction)	A (10- 9)	B (8-7)	C (6-5)	D (4-3)	E (2-1)
1.Mission				L	L	L	

Work achieve agreement	Weights(100%) In total all	Indicator of success	Scoring criteria of success indicators				
	indicators equal with 70	(choose from quantity times value or satisfaction)	A (10- 9)	B (8-7)	C (6-5)	D (4-3)	E (2-1)
2.Strategics or improve efficacy							
3.Special missions							<u> </u>
4.others				1		1	

University Core Competency	The expect competency level can be see from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)
1.Social Responsibility	
2.Work Smart	
3.Unity	
4.Creativity	
5.Morals	

Function Competency (The proportion of functional competency should not lower than 15% but not over 20%)	The expect competency level can be see from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
The main functional competency (if any) pescentage (using proportion proportion of function competency should not lower than 15%	same) as functional competency, the

Remark:

 Departament can consider the function competency appropriated by each position and consider from function competency in Srinakharinwirot University core competency handbook 2560
 The total of functional competency and main competency and main functional competency (if any) as 20%

Signature	Signature
(Assessees)	(Assessor)
(Date/)	(Date/)

Evaluation work achievement form for Srinakharinwiroit University staff Operation

Part 1 Personal Information			
Assessment round	[] 1 st (from	to	.) [] 2 nd (from)
Name of assessing			Position
Department			Faculty/Institute
DD/MM/YY if start work	experience	year	Highest education
Education level according to the p	position hired		
\Box Lower than bachelor's degree \Box	Bachelor's degree	□ Master's degr	ee \Box Doctor's degree
Position level			
Senior Expert		Senior Professional	□ Professional
Practitioner			
□ Senior professional □	Experienced		
Operational			
Assessor			Position

Function

Indicators	Leave, absence (day) / late (time)	Remark
Late (time)		
Sick leave, personal leave		
Maternity leave		
Sick leave because long time cure		
Ordination leave / Hajj leave		
Military leave		
Absence		

Part 2 Evaluation of work achievement: staffs and Assessors have to determine the mutual agreement about assignment and evaluation of work. Also the indicators or concrete evidence indicating the success of the work and suitable (70%)

Weights (100%) In total all	Indicator of success (choose from	Scoring criteria of success indicators					Assessment result	Assessment result marks = (weight x assessment)/10 = (1) x (2)/10	
Work achieve agreement	indicators equal with 70	quantity times value or satisfaction)	A (10-9)			E (2-1)			
1.Education,student,developm	ent and academic pe	rformance				L			
2.Research	1	1	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	

Weights (100%) In total all (choose from	Scoring criteria of success indicators				Assessment result	Assessment result marks = (weight x assessment)/10 = (1) x (2)/10		
indicators equal with 70		A (10-9)	B (8-7)	C (6-5)	D (4-3)	E (2-1)		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		1						
nissions/strategies/sp	becial missions							
		1	1	1	1	r		
	E1	otion of	you'r och	iovomor		1	of avaluation	
E valuation of work achievements = total marks of evaluation								
	In total all indicators equal with 70	Weights (100%) success In total all (choose from indicators equal quantity times with 70 value or satisfaction) satisfaction	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70 success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction) A (10-9)	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70 success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction) A (10-9) B (8-7) A (10-9) B (8-7)	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70 success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction) A (10-9) B (8-7) C (6-5) A B C issions/strategies/special missions Image: Constrate gies/special missions Image: Constrate gies/special missions	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70 success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction) Description A (10-9) B (8-7) C (6-5) D (4-3) Image: Control of the second state of t	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70 success (choose from quantity times value or satisfaction) A (10-9) B (8-7) C (6-5) D (4-3) E (2-1) issions/strategies/special missions Image: Contract of success matcators Image: Contract of success matcators Image: Contract of success matcators	Weights (100%) In total all indicators equal with 70Scoring criteria of success indicators resultresultA (10-9)B (8-7)C (6-5)D (4-3)E (2-1)

Signature

(Assessees)

(Date...../.....)

(Assessor)

(Date...../.....)

University Core Competency (10%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Assessment result (detail if assessment result follow by Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Competency value received = [(2)/(1)] x 100 If assessment result have higher that expect in competency value = 100
1.Social Responsibility	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2.Work Smart			
3.Unity			
4.Creativity			
5.Morals			
	Total unive	rsity core competency value	
		iversity core competency (a) competency value x 10/500	
Function Competency (The proportion of functional competency should not lower than 15% but not over 20%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Assessment result (detail if assessment result follow by Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Competency value received = [(2)/(1)] x 100 If assessment result have higher that expect in competency value = 100
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			

Function Competency (The proportion of functional competency should not lower than 15% but not over 20%)	The expect competency level can be seen from position expect competency table (Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Assessment result (detail if assessment result follow by Srinakharinwirot University competency hand book)	Competency value received = [(2)/(1)] x 100 If assessment result have higher that expect in competency value = 100
5.			
	Total functional competency value		
Assessment score of functional competency (b) = Total functional competency value x percentage of total functional competency (all competency assessment x 100) The main functional competency (if any) percentage			
Total work competency value			
Assessment score of work competency (c) = Total work competency value x percentage of work competency (all competency assessment x 100)			
Assessment competency result = university core competency value + functional competency value + work competency value = (a) + (b) + (c)			

Summary form of evaluation and staffs development plan

Part 1 Summary of evaluation

1.1 Evaluation (summarizing the data from 2 parts)	Annual evaluation result summary		
□ First round evaluation result □ Second round evaluation result	Score of first assessment round		
Evaluation Marks	Score of second assessment round		
1. Assessment of work achieve result (70 marks)	Average score of assessment		
2. Assessment competency result (30 marks)			
Total (100 marks)	Please put \checkmark in the box that same as average score		
Remark	\Box Excellent = 90.00-100.00 marks		
If this is second assessment round, it has to summary annual	\Box Very good = 80.00-89.99 marks		
assessment result.	\Box Good = 70.00-79.99 marks		
	\Box Need improvement = 60.00-69.99 marks (do not increase wages)		
	\Box Not pass = lower than 60 marks		

1.2 Highlights of assessing	1.3 should develop

Part 2 Opinion

2.1 Opinion of assessor	2.2 Opinion of screening committee			
Cignoturo	Signatura			
Signature Position	Signature Position			
(Date/)	(Date/)			
2.3 Opinion of functional committee				
••••••				
Cignoturo				
Signature Position				
(Date/)				

Part 3 Notification of assessment results

Assessor	Assessees		
□ Assessor inform first assessment result	□ Acknowledged the assessment results and the development of		
To assessing after being considered by board of directors	operations		
□ Assessor inform annual assessment result (first round and second			
round)			
After an order to increase wages that have been signed by Director-	Signature(Assessing)		
General or the person assigned by the Director-General	(Date/)		
□ Inform result and assessing signed			
□ Date of inform resultbut assessing not sign with			
1 attester			
2 Attester			
Signature(Assessor)			
(Date/)			

Part 4 Staffs development plan, assessor and assessing determine development and improvement plan. Also determine goals, results or standards of success and determine work indicators and development method

Development or improvement	Goals, results or standards of	Development method	Operating time
plan	success		
Signature	(Assessees) (Date/)	Signature .	(Assessor) (Date/)